Measuring Cytokines? Don't Sacrifice on Quality New ELISA Solutions RD >Learn More www.rndsvstems.com



The Hippo Signaling Pathway Interactome Young Kwon et al. Science 342, 737 (2013); DOI: 10.1126/science.1243971

This copy is for your personal, non-commercial use only.

If you wish to distribute this article to others, you can order high-quality copies for your colleagues, clients, or customers by clicking here.

Permission to republish or repurpose articles or portions of articles can be obtained by following the guidelines here.

The following resources related to this article are available online at www.sciencemag.org (this information is current as of November 25, 2013):

Updated information and services, including high-resolution figures, can be found in the online version of this article at:

http://www.sciencemag.org/content/342/6159/737.full.html

Supporting Online Material can be found at: http://www.sciencemag.org/content/suppl/2013/10/09/science.1243971.DC1.html

A list of selected additional articles on the Science Web sites related to this article can be found at: http://www.sciencemag.org/content/342/6159/737.full.html#related

This article cites 25 articles, 10 of which can be accessed free:

http://www.sciencemag.org/content/342/6159/737.full.html#ref-list-1

This article has been **cited by** 1 articles hosted by HighWire Press; see: http://www.sciencemag.org/content/342/6159/737.full.html#related-urls

Science (print ISSN 0036-8075; online ISSN 1095-9203) is published weekly, except the last week in December, by the American Association for the Advancement of Science, 1200 New York Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20005. Copyright 2013 by the American Association for the Advancement of Science; all rights reserved. The title Science is a registered trademark of AAAS.

Fig. 4. Calcineurin inhibition corrects Notch1 activity and cardiomyocyte differentiation of fusion-deficient ESCs. (A) (Top) Fluorescence images of Notch1 activity reporter in ESCs treated as indicated. Ionomycin (iono, $1 \mu M$), Ca²⁺ ionophore; Veh, vehicle. Scale bar, 50 μ m. (Bottom) Mean \pm SEM of Notch activity in the indicated ESCs transfected or treated as indicated. CnA^{CA}, constitutively active CnA (N = 6, **P < 0.005 versus Veh.). (B) (Top) Immunoblots using the indicated antibodies of nuclear pellet proteins (15 μ g) from ESCs treated as indicated. (Bottom) Mean \pm SEM of densitometric data (N = 3, *P < 0.05 versus Veh.). (C) Mean ± SEM of Notch1 activity in the indicated differentiating ESCs treated as indicated (N = 4, *P < 0.05 versus Veh., #P <0.05 versus wt). (D) Mean ± SEM of beating EBs from the indicated ESCs transfected or treated as indicated. CnA^{H151Q}, dominant-negative CnA mutant; DAPT, NICD production inhibitor (N = 4, **P <0.005 versus wt).

our results uncover a mitochondrial fusion-sensitive Ca²⁺/calcineurin pathway that regulates the central cardiac development factor Notch1, interrupting cardiomyocyte proliferation and blocking fetal cardiac development.

Cell fate in the developing fetus is determined by key nuclear transcription factors that drive specific differentiation gene programs. Mitochondria, the descendant of primordial bacteria living within unicellular organisms, participate in these processes by fueling them and by determining apoptotic and nonapoptotic cell death. Our findings invert this paradigm, demonstrating how a primary disturbance in organelle morphology can redirect the developmental fate of the host cell.

References and Notes

- 1. D. R. Green, G. Kroemer, *Science* **305**, 626–629 (2004). 2. S. Mandal, A. G. Lindgren, A. S. Srivastava, A. T. Clark,
- U. Banerjee, Stem Cells 29, 486–495 (2011). 3. S. Chung et al., Nat. Clin. Pract. Cardiovasc. Med. 4
- (suppl. 1), S60–S67 (2007).



- 4. M. V. Alavi et al., Brain 130, 1029–1042 (2007).
- H. Chen, J. M. McCaffery, D. C. Chan, *Cell* **130**, 548–562 (2007).
- 6. G. W. Dorn 2nd et al., Circ. Res. 108, 12–17 (2011).
- Y. Chen, Y. Liu, G. W. Dorn 2nd, *Circ. Res.* 109, 1327–1331 (2011).
- Y. Chen, G. W. Dorn 2nd, *Science* **340**, 471–475 (2013).
 Detailed experimental procedures are in the supplementary materials on *Science* Online.
- 10. G. M. Keller, Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 7, 862–869 (1995).
- 11. H. Shen *et al., Genes Dev.* **20**, 675–688 (2006).
- 12. M. Nemir, A. Croquelois, T. Pedrazzini, F. Radtke,
- Circ. Res. 98, 1471–1478 (2006).
- B. De Strooper *et al.*, *Nature* **398**, 518–522 (1999).
 R. E. Dolmetsch, R. S. Lewis, C. C. Goodnow, J. I. Healy,
- 14. K. E. Dolmetsch, K. S. Lewis, C. C. Goodnow, J. I. Heaty Nature **386**, 855–858 (1997).
- V. Costa *et al., EMBO Mol. Med.* **2**, 490–503 (2010).
 M. Hoth, C. M. Fanger, R. S. Lewis, *J. Cell Biol.* **137**,
- 633–648 (1997).
- G. M. Cereghetti *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **105**, 15803–15808 (2008).
- J. D. Molkentin *et al.*, *Cell* **93**, 215–228 (1998).
 M. D. Rand *et al.*, *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **20**, 1825–1835
- (2000).
- 20. G. Roti et al., Cancer Cell 23, 390-405 (2013).

- 21. K. Mitra, R. Rikhy, M. Lilly, J. Lippincott-Schwartz,
- J. Cell Biol. 197, 487–497 (2012).
- 22. M. Wasilewski *et al.*, *Curr. Biol.* **22**, 1228–1234 (2012).

Acknowledgments: We thank F. Radtke and R. Kopan for plasmids, B. Wehrle-Haller for help with TIRF imaging, and D. Martinvalet and N. Demaurex for helpful discussions. L.S. is a Dulbecco Telethon Institute Senior Scientist. A.K. received the ISHR-ES/SERVIER Research Fellowship, and S.C. received an Associazione Italiana Ricerca sul Cancro (AIRC) Fellowship. This work was supported by Telethon GGP06254A, GPP10005B; AIRC; SNF 31-118171; Oncosuisse; ERC StG 282280 (to L.S.); and NIH R01 HL59888 (to G.W.D.). The data presented in this paper are tabulated in the main paper and the supplementary materials.

Supplementary Materials

www.sciencemag.org/content/342/6159/734/suppl/DC1 Materials and Methods Figs. S1 to S22 Table S1 References (23–28)

3 June 2013; accepted 24 September 2013 Published online 3 October 2013; 10.1126/science.1241359

The Hippo Signaling Pathway Interactome

Young Kwon,¹ Arunachalam Vinayagam,¹* Xiaoyun Sun,³* Noah Dephoure,⁴ Steven P. Gygi,⁴ Pengyu Hong,³ Norbert Perrimon^{1,2}†

The Hippo pathway controls metazoan organ growth by regulating cell proliferation and apoptosis. Many components have been identified, but our knowledge of the composition and structure of this pathway is still incomplete. Using existing pathway components as baits, we generated by mass spectrometry a high-confidence *Drosophila* Hippo protein-protein interaction network (Hippo-PPIN) consisting of 153 proteins and 204 interactions. Depletion of 67% of the proteins by RNA interference regulated the transcriptional coactivator Yorkie (Yki) either positively or negatively. We selected for further characterization a new member of the alpha-arrestin family, Leash, and show that it promotes degradation of Yki through the lysosomal pathway. Given the importance of the Hippo pathway in tumor development, the Hippo-PPIN will contribute to our understanding of this network in both normal growth and cancer.

C entral to the Hippo pathway are the two protein kinases Hippo and Warts (Wts) kinases that regulate the Yki transcriptional coactivator (1-3). Yki together with the TEA/AATS domain (TEAD) transcription factor Scalloped induces the transcription of a number of targets that promote cell proliferation and survival. Hippo activates Wts by phosphorylation, which in turn inhibits Yki by phosphorylation, causing its cytoplasmic retention by association with 14-3-3. Hippo signaling is regulated by the protocadherins Fat (Ft) and Daschous (Ds) (1-3). Ft regulates Wts independently of Hpo by affecting the stability of Wts through the unconventional myosin Dachs (D) (4). A number of other proteins, including Merlin (Mer), Kibra, Tao, Salt-inducible kinases, and cell polarity proteins, also regulate the core kinases (5, 6).

Most components of the Hippo pathway have been identified from genetic screens in *Drosophila*. To complement these approaches and gain information on the organization of the signaling

†Corresponding author. E-mail: perrimon@receptor.med. harvard.edu

¹Department of Genetics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, USA. ²Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, USA. ³Department of Computer Science, Volen Center for Complex Systems, Brandeis University, Waltham, MA 02454, USA. ⁴Department of Cell Biology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, USA.

^{*}These authors contributed equally to this work.

REPORTS



Fig. 1. Proteomic identification of PPIs surrounding the Hippo pathway. (**A**) Network representation of the functional Hippo-PPIN. PPIs with $SS \ge 0.8$ are shown. Node color indicates the RNAi screen results. (**B**) Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve showing the performance of SAINT score. The true-positive rate and false-positive rate are computed at various SAINT score cutoffs. The area under curve (AUC) is 0.9206. (**C**) Recovered PPIs

among known components from the PPIN. Gray edges indicate the known interactions recapitulated from the PPIN. Red edges indicate novel interactions recovered from the PPIN. Edge thickness corresponds to SS. (**D**) Comparison of Hippo-PPIN with the published RNAi screen, Chip-sequencing, and RNA-sequencing data sets. Yki targets correspond to the genes identified by both Yki-Chip and *wts*^{P2}-RNA sequencing data.

Fig. 2. Validation of Hippo-PPIN with functional RNAi screen and co-IP. (A) Distribution of Yki-reporter values for individual double-stranded RNAs (dsRNAs) in our focused RNAi screen. About 70% of genes are covered by two dsRNAs. (B) Recovery of Hippo pathway components from RNAi screen [fold-change (log_2) cutoff \pm 1]. (C) The positive regulatory network validated with co-IP. (D) Heat map, based on COMPLEAT analysis (fig. S5 and table S7), showing interaction between baits (left side) and selected cellular processes (top). The sum of SSs for the PPIs between bait and subcomplexes in a biological process is used to show the interaction strength. (E) Interaction network with subcomplexes involved in endocytosis and vesicle trafficking. All edges with baits have $SS \ge 0.8$. Node color represents the highest SS. (F) Co-IP validation of novel PPIs (SS \geq 0.8) with subcomplexes related to endocytosis and vesicle trafficking.



network, we constructed a PPIN centered on the Drosophila Hippo canonical pathway components by affinity purification and mass spectrometry (AP/MS) from Drosophila S2R⁺ cells (7, 8). In total, we identified an unfiltered network of 4560 interactions (edges) among 1518 proteins (nodes). The total spectral counts for each identified protein were processed using the Significance Analysis of Interactome (SAINT) algorithm that confers a confidence score for PPI data obtained with AP/MS (9). Evaluation of the network by SAINT score (SS) performance test reveals that it is of high quality (Fig. 1B). PPIs with $SS \ge$ 0.8 (false positive rate < 3%; 204 interactions and 153 proteins) are shown in Fig. 1A (see table S1 for the full list of interactions and table S2 for the list of human orthologs of proteins with SS ≥ 0.8). We also compared the Hippo-PPIN with literaturebased physical and genetic interaction networks (7). The overlap between Hippo-PPIN and the literature-based networks increased along with the increase of SS (fig. S1 and table S3), indicating that SS reliably represents the confidence of PPIs. Furthermore, we experimentally validated 23 out of 26 PPIs (~13% of the high-confidence PPIs; SS ≥ 0.8) with coimmunoprecipitation (co-IP) assay (fig. S2), leading to an estimate of ~11.5% experimental false positive rate with SS > 0.8.

Of known biochemical interactions between Hippo pathway components, 16 out of 31 expected interactions (table S4) with the baits (~52% coverage) were represented in the Hippo-PPIN (Fig. 1C, gray edges). Importantly, our Hippo-PPIN uncovered a number of new interactions between known components, including Ft-Capulet (Capt), Ft-Mob as tumor suppressor (Mats), Ds-Hippo, and Yki-Tondu-domain–containing growth inhibitor (Tgi) (Fig. 1C, red edges). Moreover, the Hippo-PPIN was significantly enriched for the hits from the published RNA interference (RNAi) screens (5, 10) and the potential transcriptional targets of Yki (11) (Fig. 1D and fig. S3).

To address the contribution of each node to the regulation of the downstream transcription factor Yki, we performed a focused-RNAi screen for 98% of the high-confidence nodes (SS \geq 0.8) (see supplementary materials) (Fig. 2A). This screen efficiently recovered multiple known pathway components (Fig. 2B) and provided a functional validation for 67% of the high-confidence nodes, comprising 77 negative and 25 positive regulators with fold-change (log₂) cutoff ± 0.58 (see supplementary materials) (Fig. 1A and table S5).

Existing Hippo pathway components are enriched for negative effectors of Yki because their overgrowth phenotypes and increase of *yki*-reporter activity in vivo are readily detectable. By combining proteomics and functional genomics data, we identified 19 positive effectors of *yki* with fold-change (\log_2) ≤ -1 . We tested 15 PPIs with the positive effectors based on the availability of cDNA clones and validated 13 PPIs with co-IP (Fig. 2C and fig. S4). Additional-



Fig. 3. Identification of Leash as a regulator of Yki. (**A**) co-IP. Arrowheads indicate Yki-Myc. (**B** and **C**) Yki-reporter assay with knockdown (B) or overexpression (C) of *leash*. Nonoverlapping dsRNAs against *leash* (1, DRSC15617; 2, DRSC28712) were used. (**D**) Induction of vesicular localization of Yki by Leash. (**E**) Quantification of Yki-containing vesicles following *leash* expression. (**F**) Quantification of Yki-containing vesicles after *leash* knockdown. Mean \pm SDs are shown. * $P \le 0.05$, Student's *t* test.

ly, positive effectors such as Arf79F, CG2186, Aats-lys, Hsc70-3, and Klp61F were independently identified in (5, 10, 12) (Fig. 2C).

To gain further insights on the organization of Hippo-PPIN, we used the Protein Complex Enrichment Analysis Tool (COMPLEAT) (13), which identifies enriched known protein complex in a data set based on a comprehensive protein complex resource. The confidence of the interaction between a complex and Hippo pathway components over the entire unfiltered PPIN was represented with interquartile means of SSs for nodes in a complex (Complex Score). From this analysis, we identified 299 subcomplexes from the entire network (table S6) that revealed potential links with multiple biological processes impinging on Hippo signaling (Fig. 2D, fig. S5, and table S7). Notably, consistent with the observation that multiple actin regulators affect Hippo signaling (10, 14), interactions with cytoskeletal complexes were prominent (fig. S6). Further, reminiscent of the function of a number of Hippo pathway components in the regulation of cell division orientation (15) and positioning anaphase spindle and astral microtubules (16), many complexes related to spindle organization were identified (fig. S6). Strong association with endocytosis and vesicle trafficking complexes was also uncovered (Fig. 2E). Among the high-confidence PPIs, we validated 5 PPIs between Ft and endocytic components, and PPIs of Yki-Sec31 and Hpo-Arf79F (Fig. 2F and fig. S4). Furthermore, our RNAi screen validated a few high-confidence nodes as positive and negative effectors of Yki (fig. S6E). Given the active involvement of intracellular vesicles in multiple signaling events, our results implicate potential roles for vesicle trafficking in various aspects of Ft and Hippo signaling.

The Hippo-PPIN contains many potential new components of Hippo signaling. To initiate their characterization, we focused on an interactor of Yki, CG4674, which belongs to the arrestin domain containing (Arrdc) protein family. CG4674 is of particular interest because its mammalian orthologs, including Arrdc3, are implicated in tumor suppression by regulating cell proliferation and survival (*17*).

Co-IP (Fig. 3A) and reciprocal AP/MS (table S8) further established the association between CG4674 and Yki. Depletion of CG4674 by RNAi increased Yki-reporter activity (Fig. 3B), and overexpression had the opposite effect (Fig. 3C), suggesting that CG4674 restrains Yki activity (thus leading us to refer to CG4674 as *leash*). Although Yki was found in the cytoplasm, nucleus, and cytoplasmic vesicles, Leash predominantly localized to cytoplasmic vesicles. However, when Yki and Leash were expressed together, strong colocalization was observed (Fig. 3D). Importantly, overexpression and RNAi of leash increased and decreased vesicular localization of Yki, respectively (Fig. 3, E and F). Further, the late endosome marker, Rab9, colocalized with a subset of Ykipositive vesicles, and the lysosomal marker, Lamp1, encircled some Yki-positive punctae (fig. S7).

REPORTS

Overexpression of *wts* significantly increased the abundance of Yki-containing vesicles (fig. S8), suggesting that Hippo signaling regulates the vesicular localization of Yki. Arrdc proteins have conserved arrestin domains at their N-termini that interact with their cargos. Expression of Leash N-terminal arrestin domains alone was sufficient to regulate Yki activity by forming a complex (fig. S9), indicating that Yki is a Leash cargo.

We also identified additional Leash interactors by AP/MS (tables S8 and S9) and found that Leash strongly binds to Nedd4 and a few other HECT (homologous to E6-AP carboxyl terminus) ubiquitin ligases (Fig. 4A). Moreover, we identified three ubiquitinated lysines on Leash that were important for complex formation with Yki (fig. S10). To address whether human Arrdcs could downregulate Yki, we tested five human Leash orthologs (fig. S11). Expression of Arrdc1 or Arrdc3 reduced Yki-reporter activity (fig. S11B) and Yki protein abundance (Fig. 4B). Because Nedd4 induces degradation of its substrate through the endosomallysosomal pathway (*18*), we tested the effect of Bafilomycin, an inhibitor of lysosome acidification. Bafilomycin treatment increased Yki activity (Fig. 4C) and reversed the effect of overexpression of full-length Leash or Arrestin domains (Fig. 4D), indicating that Leash decreases Yki abundance through the lysosomal degradation pathway.

Yki activity is a critical determinant of growth, and Hippo signaling restricts growth by suppressing Yki. Consistent with the role of Leash in inhibiting Yki, overexpression of *leash* or *arrdc3* reduced wing size significantly (Fig. 4E and fig. S12). Further, depletion of *leash* in wing discs affected neither wing size nor Yki protein abundance significantly, suggesting functional redundancy between family members or additional regulatory mechanisms. In the midgut, under normal homeostasis, Yki is inhibited. However, when



Fig. 4. Mechanism of Yki inhibition by Leash. (**A**) Subcomplexes identified from the Leashinteractome. Legend shows the sum of spectral counts in triplicates. (**B**) Yki protein level. (**C**) Yki-reporter activity. Bafilomycin (0.01 μ M) was treated for 2 days. (**D**) Suppression of Leash-induced Yki degradation after Bafilomycin treatment. "Arr domains" corresponds to amino acids 1 to 305 of Leash (fig. S9A). (**E**) Quantification of wing size. (**F**) Enhancement of *Hippo* knockdown phenotype by *leash* knockdown in gut stem cells. Stem cells and enteroblasts are marked with green fluorescent protein (GFP). Transgenes were induced for 8 days. (**G**) Suppression of *yki*^{35/A}-induced proliferation by overexpression of *leash* or *arrdc3*. Transgenes were induced for 6 days. Mean \pm SDs are shown. * $P \le 0.05$, Student's *t* test.

an active form of *yki* (*yki*^{3S/A}) is expressed or an upstream regulator is inactivated, midgut stem cells overproliferate (*19, 20*). Depletion of *leash* enhanced proliferation induced by *hippo* knockdown without affecting proliferation under normal homeostasis (Fig. 4F). Furthermore, overexpression of *leash* or *arrdc3* suppressed *yki*^{3S/A}-induced proliferation (Fig. 4G). Altogether, these results indicate that *leash* is a negative regulator of Yki.

In summary, we generated a PPIN for the Hippo pathway. Analysis of the interactions using functional RNAi screen and COMPLEAT revealed a snapshot of the overall organization of the Hippo signaling network. Further, we characterized Leash, a novel component of the Hippo pathway, and showed that it down-regulates Yki through lysosomal degradation. Altogether, the Hippo-PPIN provides a resource for further in-depth characterization of new and existing components of the Hippo pathway.

References and Notes

- 1. D. Pan, Dev. Cell 19, 491-505 (2010).
- B. K. Staley, K. D. Irvine, *Dev. Dyn.* 241, 3–15 (2012).
- G. Halder, R. L. Johnson, *Development* 138, 9–22 (2011).
- 4. E. Cho et al., Nat. Genet. 38, 1142-1150 (2006).
- 5. M. C. Wehr et al., Nat. Cell Biol. 15, 61-71 (2013).
- 6. F. X. Yu, K. L. Guan, Genes Dev. 27, 355-371 (2013).
- 7. A. A. Friedman et al., Sci. Signal. 4, rs10 (2011).
- P. Kyriakakis, M. Tipping, L. Abed, A. Veraksa, *Fly (Austin)* 2, 229–235 (2008).
- 9. H. Choi et al., Nat. Methods 8, 70-73 (2011).
- 10. L. Sansores-Garcia *et al., EMBO J.* **30**, 2325–2335 (2011).
- 11. H. Oh et al., Cell Rep 3, 309-318 (2013).
- 12. P. S. Ribeiro et al., Mol. Cell 39, 521-534 (2010).
- 13. A. Vinayagam *et al.*, *Sci. Signal.* **6**, rs5 (2013).
- G. Halder, S. Dupont, S. Piccolo, Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 13, 591–600 (2012).
- 15. Y. Mao et al., Genes Dev. 25, 131-136 (2011).
- 16. J. M. Rock et al., Science 340, 871-875 (2013).
- 17. K. M. Draheim *et al.*, *Oncogene* **29**, 5032–5047 (2010).
- G. K. Tofaris et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 108, 17004–17009 (2011).
- P. Karpowicz, J. Perez, N. Perrimon, *Development* 137, 4135–4145 (2010).
- F. Ren et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 107, 21064–21069 (2010).

Acknowledgments: We thank the Transgenic RNAi Project, Drosophila RNAi Screening Center, and Bloomington Stock Center for fly stocks and reagents; C. Villata, E. Heffern, and R. Binari for technical support; L. Sansores-Garcia and G. Halder for help with the Yki-reporter assay; S. Blair and K. Irvine for reagents; and P. Karpowicz and R. Sopko for comments. Y.K. was supported by the Damon Runyon Cancer Research Foundation. This work was supported by R01-DK088718 and P01-CA120964 to N.P. N.P. is an Investigator of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute. AP/MS data are presented in the supplementary materials. RNAi screen data are available at Drosophila RNAi Screening Center.

Supplementary Materials

www.sciencemag.org/content/342/6159/737/suppl/DC1 Materials and Methods Figs. S1 to S12 Tables S1 to S9 References (21–25)

30 July 2013; accepted 2 October 2013 Published online 10 October 2013; 10.1126/science.1243971