Mechanosensitive channels and their functions in stem cell differentiation
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ABSTRACT

Stem cells continuously perceive and respond to various environmental signals during development, tissue homeostasis, and pathological conditions. Mechanical force, one of the fundamental signals in the physical world, plays a vital role in the regulation of multiple functions of stem cells. The importance of cell adhesion to the extracellular matrix (ECM), cell-cell junctions, and a mechanoresponsive cell cytoskeleton has been under intensive study in the fields of stem cell biology and mechanobiology. However, the involvement of mechanosensitive (MS) ion channels in the mechanical regulation of stem cell activity has just begun to be realized. Here, we review the diversity and importance of mechanosensitive channels (MSCs), and discuss recently discovered functions of MSCs in stem cell regulation, especially in the determination of cell fate.

1. Introduction

Mechanical forces are primary signals that are sensed by essentially all cells. They can be generated in a number of ways both within and outside of the biological system. These include: 1) changes in osmolarity due to variation in electrolyte concentrations, 2) changes to local stiffness caused by deposition or modification of ECM molecules, 3) alterations of lipid bilayer mechanics through lipid lysis, 4) contractile forces generated by the cytoskeleton, 5) tensile stresses created by body fluids, air, and ingested food particles, 6) physical constrictions generated during development or tumorigenesis, 7) sound perceived in the form of vibration, and 8) forces caused by gravity, acceleration, and body position. These highly multiscale mechanical signals play essential roles in different biological processes, from regulating individual cell growth to controlling organ formation and modifying the behavior of the whole organism. Cells have developed various kinds of molecular machinery to sense mechanical signals, including structural proteins, ion channels, enzymes, and membrane receptors [1].

Most previous studies of microscale mechanical signaling have focused on cell adhesion, structural proteins, and ECM molecules [2], while studies of tissue-level or organism-level mechanical sensing have primarily focused on MSCs in excitable cells such as muscles and neurons [1]. This under-appreciation of the role of MSCs in microscale mechanical signaling is due in part to the fact that most classic electrophysiology techniques to study MSCs, such as the patch clamp technique, are not readily available to conventional cell biologists, and in part because a limited number of MSCs have been identified outside neurons and muscles. However, with the development of calcium imaging systems, different pharmacological reagents, ex vivo manipulation techniques, and recently discovered ubiquitous MSCs, increasing evidence suggests that MSCs also play essential roles in controlling many fundamental biological functions other than neuron and muscle excitation, including stem cell proliferation and differentiation. Below, we discuss the fundamental properties of MSCs and review the most recent discoveries about the roles of MSCs in stem cells.

2. Evolution and expression of MSCs

Compared with well-characterized mechanosensitive machinery such as the integrin adhesion complex, which appeared during the earliest origin of multicellular organisms, MSCs are even more evolutionary ancient: they are present in cells across all kingdoms of life forms [1,3]. As osmolarity stress on the lipid membrane was probably one of the first vital signals faced by early life forms in water, the presence of MSCs in most cell types appears necessary. However, the evolutionary ancient origin of MSCs seemed to conflict with the fact that in multicellular organisms, roles for MSCs had been identified only in excitable cells. This apparent contradiction was resolved recently by the discovery in 2010 of Piezo, an MS channel broadly expressed in different pharmacological reagents, ex vivo manipulation techniques, and recently discovered ubiquitous MSCs, increasing evidence suggests that MSCs also play essential roles in controlling many fundamental biological functions other than neuron and muscle excitation, including stem cell proliferation and differentiation. Below, we discuss the fundamental properties of MSCs and review the most recent discoveries about the roles of MSCs in stem cells.

Although Piezo was discovered only 8 years ago, it has already become one of the best-characterized MSCs in eukaryotes. Piezo is now...
known to function in a variety of cell types, including red blood cells, Merkel cells, epithelial cells, vascular endothelial cells, chondrocytes, stem cells, and neurons [5]. This broad function of Piezo is consistent with its early appearance during evolution: Piezo-related proteins have been found in almost all eukaryotes, including animals, plants, and protozoa [6]. Most vertebrate genomes contain two paralogs, Piezo1 and Piezo2, whereas Drosophila melanogaster has a single ortholog with similarity to both Piezo1 and 2 [5]. As a novel protein sharing no known structural similarity with other proteins, Piezo is an unusually large molecule (> 2500 amino acids) that forms a channel with about 100 transmembrane domains (as a homotrimer). Remarkably, Piezo is one of the most sensitive MSCs discovered to date and can be directly activated in the presence of membrane tension without any additional components [5]. Thus far, Piezo appears to be a MSC that primarily responds to mechanical stresses in vivo. This unique mechanosensitive function of Piezo greatly facilitates the interpretation of loss-of-function studies and thus has led to a large number of discoveries in mechanobiology [5].

Another ancient and broadly expressed MSC is the transient receptor potential (TRP) channel (Table 1). First cloned in 1989, TRP was originally found to be required for light detection in the Drosophila retina [6], which is activated through rhodopsin-triggered phospholipase C (PLC) activity. The TRP channel is present in both fungi and retina [6], which is activated through rhodopsin-triggered phospholipase C (PLC) activity. The TRP channel is present in both fungi and retina [6], which is activated through rhodopsin-triggered phospholipase C (PLC) activity.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Genes</th>
<th>Protein Family</th>
<th>Effector</th>
<th>Function in</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TRP4 [16]</td>
<td>TRP</td>
<td>Ca2+</td>
<td>Neurons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OSM9, Ore-1,2,3,4 [16]</td>
<td>TRPV</td>
<td>Ca2+</td>
<td>Neurons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LOV-1, PKD2 [3,16]</td>
<td>TRPP</td>
<td>Ca2+</td>
<td>Neurons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TRPA1 [16]</td>
<td>TRPA</td>
<td>Ca2+</td>
<td>Neurons, stem cells, neurons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ppk1, 36 [11,16]</td>
<td>ENaC/DEG</td>
<td>Na+</td>
<td>Neurons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Brv1 [16]</td>
<td>TRPP</td>
<td>Ca2+</td>
<td>Neurons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TRPA1, Pain, Pys, wtrw [16]</td>
<td>TRPA</td>
<td>Ca2+</td>
<td>Neurons, stem cells, neurons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NAN, IAV [16]</td>
<td>TRPV</td>
<td>Ca2+</td>
<td>Neurons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NompC [16]</td>
<td>TRPN</td>
<td>Ca2+</td>
<td>Neurons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mammal</td>
<td>ASMC, 2, 3 [11,16]</td>
<td>ENaC/DEG</td>
<td>Na+</td>
<td>Neurons, muscle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TRPA1 [5,6,16]</td>
<td>TRP</td>
<td>Ca2+</td>
<td>Neurons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TRPC1,3,5,6 [6,16]</td>
<td>TRPC</td>
<td>Ca2+</td>
<td>Stem cells, multiple tissues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TRPV1,2,4 [6,16]</td>
<td>TRPV</td>
<td>Ca2+</td>
<td>Stem cells, multiple tissues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TRPM3,4,7 [6,16]</td>
<td>TRPM</td>
<td>Ca2+</td>
<td>Stem cells, multiple tissues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PKD1,2 [6,16]</td>
<td>TRPP</td>
<td>Ca2+</td>
<td>Stem cells, kidney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TREK 1,2, TRAAK [3,16]</td>
<td>K+2P Family</td>
<td>K+</td>
<td>Muscle, neurons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMC1,2 [16]</td>
<td>Novel</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Neurons</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition to Piezo and TRPs, there are also several evolutionary new families of proteins with MSC function (Table 1). The ENaC/DEG superfamily first appeared after the late branches of Metazoa [11]. This protein family was first discovered from nematodes in the mechanosensitive neurons for touch perception (the name Degenerins/Deg comes from the neuron degeneration phenotype caused by gain-of-function mutations of these channels). In mammals, ENaC/DEG family proteins were also found in neurons, where they are responsible for sensing osmolarity. However, because these channels function as part of a multi-unit complex and no reconstitution experiments have been successful, whether these channels can directly detect mechanical force and can function as mechano-sensors in contexts other than inexcitable cells has yet to be determined. In addition, although ENaC/DEG proteins are widely expressed in epithelial tissues, they are more likely to play an ion transport function rather than a mechanosensory role [11]. Members of another MSC subfamily, the K⁺-selective 2P domain channels (TREK/TRAAX channels), are only present in mammals [12–14]. Structural studies and reconstitution experiments showed that TREK/TRAAX channels are MSCs which are directly activated by mechanical tension in the lipid bilayer. Another protein family with possible MS function is the Transmembrane channel-like proteins (TMC1,2). These proteins share similar topology with TRPs and were identified in the cochlea of the inner ear as part of the auditory transduction machinery. Like ENaC/DEG proteins, TMCs seem to require other components such as cadherins in cell-cell adhesion for their mechanosensing functions. It is still not clear whether TMCs can function as direct mechanosensory channels. Both TREK/TRAAX and TMC1,2 have been primarily identified and studied in excitable cells, especially in neurons [15,16].

The discovery of new MSCs has just begun. For example, Piezo can only explain the fast-inactivating MS response in the dorsal root ganglia cells, and MSCs corresponding to the intermediate- and slow-inactivating responses in these cells are still unidentified [4]. In fact, in many mechanical responsive cells, the corresponding MSCs are yet to be discovered.

3. Ca²⁺ is the primary downstream effector of MSC activation in non-excitable cells

It is important to note that both Piezo and TRPs are non-selective...
cation channels and that their immediate downstream effect after channel opening is an increase in cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\)[16]. As a key second messenger in both prokaryotes and eukaryotes, Ca\(^{2+}\) regulates many fundamental biological processes, including cell morphology, migration, secretion, proliferation, differentiation, and cell death [17]. The insolubility of calcium phosphate around the neutral pH makes Ca\(^{2+}\) a highly undesirable ion within the cytosol. Thus, the naturally very low concentration of this ion in the cell makes its concentration a highly sensitive indicator. In most parts of a cell, cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) is around 100 nM at resting state (in contrast to the ~1 mM Ca\(^{2+}\) outside the cells) and rises to 1 uM when activated. This feature allows Ca\(^{2+}\)-permeable MSCs to control cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) with extremely high efficiency. Even for channels with low conductivity (around 30 pS), one single open channel can, in theory, increase the total Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration of a regular mammalian cell by 10-fold (from 100 nM to 1 uM) within 0.1 s (if the Ca\(^{2+}\) buffering effect is not considered). This sensitivity may be another reason why MSCs are hard to detect in expression profiling experiments as a meager number of MSCs is needed for biological activity. Meanwhile, cells are highly sensitive to changes in the concentration of Ca\(^{2+}\). For example, in neuron growth cone pathfinding, higher cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) (200 nM) effectively promotes axon attraction, whereas lower Ca\(^{2+}\) (75 nM) triggers repulsion and mid-range Ca\(^{2+}\) (135 nM) generates random growth [18]. The very high sensitivity of cells to Ca\(^{2+}\) signals may partially explain why the sodium-selective ENaC/Deg and potassium-selective K2p play lesser functions in non-excitable cells, as these channels primarily regulate membrane potentials, which are usually low in non-excitable cells. The very fast increase and high sensitivity of Ca\(^{2+}\) also make it a more rapid and long-range second messenger: Ca\(^{2+}\) wave can travel in the cells with speed up to 50 μm per second [19] and propagate across multiple cells through gap junctions [20]. Although Ca\(^{2+}\) is the unified effecter of most MSCs in non-excitable cells, this does not prevent MSCs from generating highly diverse biological consequences in different systems. On the one hand, this is because Ca\(^{2+}\) increases can be interpreted by various downstream effectors, including CaM-dependent protein kinases (CaMks), myosin light chain kinase (MLCK), serum response factor (SRF), and cAMP response element-binding protein (CREB) [17]. On the other hand, many Ca\(^{2+}\)-regulated factors not only respond to the presence and absence of Ca\(^{2+}\) but also react differently to distinct Ca\(^{2+}\) dynamics, including the speed of increase, frequency, and duration of the Ca\(^{2+}\) signal. For example, in rat hippocampal dentritic spines, low-frequency Ca\(^{2+}\) increase activates calcineurin, also known as protein phosphatase 2B, but not CaMK II, whereas high-frequency Ca\(^{2+}\) activates both of them [21]. Similar dynamic modulation by Ca\(^{2+}\) has been found for several other key signaling molecules, including protein kinase C (PKC), extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK)/mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), calpain protease, transcription factor NFAT (nuclear factor of activated T-cells), NF-κB, and glycogen phosphorylase [22]. Finally, the effects of Ca\(^{2+}\) can also be controlled spatially. The existence of buffering proteins in specific cells or subcellular locations may further cause the compartmentalization of Ca\(^{2+}\) responses even without a close membrane boundary. For example, highly localized blinds or quarks of Ca\(^{2+}\) transients trigger local membrane fusion between synaptic vesicles and presynaptic membrane [23], and localized Ca\(^{2+}\) increases in the nucleus by Ca\(^{2+}\) release from nucleoplasmic reticulum has also been proposed to play a different role than cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) in transcriptional activation and cell proliferation [24]. Therefore, mechanical stresses with different magnitudes, frequencies, or spatial patterns can trigger completely different biological consequences through MSC-mediated Ca\(^{2+}\) increases.

4. MSC-triggered Ca\(^{2+}\) plays essential roles in stem cell differentiation

Ca\(^{2+}\) is an unarguably key molecule that controls stem cell proliferation and differentiation [17]. Elevated Ca\(^{2+}\) activities, such as oscillations, sparks, or waves, have been found in many different stem cells, including embryonic stem cells (ESCs) [25,26], neural stem cells (NSCs) [27], mesenchymal stem cells [28], cardiac progenitor cells [29], and intestinal stem cells (ISCs) [30,31]. Some of these Ca\(^{2+}\) activities are associated with stem cell growth and maintenance [26,30]. Meanwhile, evidence of Ca\(^{2+}\) regulated stem cell differentiation is also abundant [17]. Ca\(^{2+}\) activities can stimulate cell differentiation into neuronal lineage in both developing embryo and cultured stem cells [32], control multiple steps of cardiomyocyte differentiation [33], induce skin Keratinocyte differentiation [34], enhance the chondrogenic differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells, and function as an inhibitory signal in the case of differentiation into adipocytes [35,36].

Mechanical forces have also been found to be critical regulators in most of the systems mentioned above [1,37]. The regulation of stem cell differentiation by mechanical forces was first directly observed by the discovery that matrix elasticity directly controls mesenchymal stem cell differentiation into different cell lineages [38]. Further, mechanical stimuli have been found to trigger ESC neurogenesis [39] and mesenchymal stem cells chondrogenesis [40], favor osteoblastogenesis and inhibit adipogenesis [41], and regulate several differentiation cues during cardiomyogenesis [42]. More importantly, most of these mechanical stimuli cause a change in Ca\(^{2+}\) signals in stem cells. Therefore, it is not very surprising that MSCs are involved in the regulation of mechanically-triggered Ca\(^{2+}\) activities.

Recently, several studies have shown that MSCs function as key mechanosensors in the regulation of differentiation of various types of stem cells. For example, mouse ESCs have been found to express more mechanosensitive Ca\(^{2+}\) permeant cation channels than human ESCs, which may explain their distinctive responses to mechanical stimuli: mechanical stretches promote mESCs differentiation but help hESCs maintain their pluripotency [43]. Early evidence for the involvement of MSCs in stem cell differentiation also came from the well-studied mesenchymal stem cells (Fig. 1) [27]. These cells are readily available from multiple tissues and can differentiate into osteoblasts (bone cells), chondrocytes (cartilage cells), myocytes (muscle cells) and adipocytes (fat cells). As mentioned above, mechanical stress plays important roles in regulating mesenchymal stem cell differentiation, which is accompanied by increases in cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\).

In two recent studies, TRPM7 was identified as the mechanosensory that is responsible for the compression and fluid shear stress-induced osteogenesis of mesenchymal stem cells. In the first study, TRPM7 was found to be required for a fluid stress-triggered increase in activity of the osteogenic transcriptional factor Osterix [44]. In the second study, a mutation in TRPM7 was shown to completely block the intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) increase and subsequent NFATc1 nuclear localization triggered by mechanical compression [45]. More importantly, the authors demonstrated that the compression-induced Ca\(^{2+}\) increase is independent of the cytoskeleton, as cytochalasin D treatment cannot block this increase.

Another striking result comes from a study of the effects of hydrostatic pressure (HP) on cultured mesenchymal stem cells [46]. RNAi against Piezo significantly blocked the 0.01 MPa positive HP triggered osteoblast differentiation, whereas the Piezo activator Yoda1 promotes differentiation by inducing Bone morphogenetic protein 2 (BMP2) expression. Finally, inhibition of MSCs by treatment with GsMTx4, a spider venom peptide that attenuates tension between the membrane protein and the lipid bilayer, significantly blocks the HP-induced caudal fin ray increase in fish larvae. This study for the first time demonstrated that HP sensing during animal bone formation requires MSCs. Because of the incompressibility of the biomaterial, the activation of Piezo by HP seems puzzling. However, HP might alter the partition of oxygen and carbon dioxide in the medium, which may further affect the molecular composition of ECM and Piezo activation.

The presence of TRPV4 was first demonstrated to be responsible for a flow-induced local Ca\(^{2+}\) increase in osteocyte-like cells and Madin-
Darby Canine Kidney (MDCK) cells [47,48], and a hypo-osmotic stress-triggered Ca^{2+} rise in chondrocytes [49]. A recent study in mesenchymal stem cells uncovered that TRPV4 is responsible for the oscillatory fluid shear-induced Ca^{2+} increase and early osteogenic differentiation [50]. Consistent with previous studies in other cell types [47,51], the authors showed that TRPV4 is specifically localized to areas with higher strain under fluid shear, particularly the base of the primary cilium. Perhaps even more intriguingly, the author demonstrated that blocking the primary cilium by knocking down the ciliogenesis-required protein IFT88 altered the response to TRPV4 activation, suggesting that different subcellular locations of MSCs may be responsible for different downstream signaling.

Another exciting discovery came from a study of cultured human neural stem/progenitor cells (hNSPCs), which can differentiate into neurons, astrocytes, and oligodendrocytes. Piezo1 is expressed in cultured hNSPCs and is responsible for mechanically-induced changes of membrane action potential (Fig. 1) [27]. Further analysis showed that spontaneous Ca^{2+} transients generated through cell-ECM traction forces require the presence of Piezo1. Moreover, stem cells plated on substrates with different stiffness altered their lineage choice between neurons and astrocytes. This ECM-regulated cell fate determination is blocked when Piezo is knocked down in the stem cells, suggesting that Piezo is an important mediator for mechanical-regulated neuronal stem cell differentiation.

The latest evidence of a role for MSCs in cell fate regulation comes from studies of the intestinal tissue. The digestive system constantly faces different kinds of mechanical stimuli, including shear and strain caused by food and repeated deformation caused by contraction of the visceral muscles. In addition, several pathological conditions, such as irritable bowel syndrome and Crohn's disease, are associated with a significant increase in the luminal pressure of the intestine [52]. In the mammalian intestine, mechanical stretch strongly increases cell proliferation in vivo [53]. In addition, in vitro experiments showed that cyclic strain applied to human intestinal epithelial Caco-2 cells triggers both proliferation and directed cell differentiation in a frequency-dependent manner [54]. Several essential regulators, including focal adhesion complex (FAK), PKC, ERK/MAPK, and Akt, have been found to be important for this mechanical response [52]. However, whether any MSCs are involved in the mechanosensing step is not clear.

Studies in Drosophila have demonstrated a role for Piezo in the differentiation of stem cell progeny. Compared with the complex mammalian intestine, the fly midgut is much simpler but shares many similarities in molecular features and cell lineage, including the presence of intestinal stem cells (ISCs), secretory enteroendocrine cells (EEs), and absorptive enterocytes (ECs) [55]. Through a genetic screen for stem cell-specific markers, we discovered that fly Piezo is specifically expressed in EE precursor (EP) cells (Fig. 1) [31]. These cells are normally inactive but can be mechanically triggered to differentiate into EEs. Loss of Piezo activity causes a gradual reduction in the number of EEs after the adult stage, suggesting that it is required for basal EE generation, possibly through digestion-triggered mechanical stimulus. Consistent with this hypothesis, physical stress triggered by an excessive amount of food in the midgut increases EE generation in a Piezo-dependent manner. Finally, direct deformation of ex vivo cultured midguts triggers a clear Piezo-dependent Ca^{2+} increase, supporting the idea that Piezo acts in the fly midgut as a direct mechanical sensor. Recently, Piezo was also detected in several different cell types in the mouse gastrointestinal tract [56,57]. It will be interesting to see if a similar regulatory pathway is conserved in mammalian stem cells.

5. Perspectives

Due to the ancient origin and diversity of MSCs, a broad set of functions of MSCs in different cell types is expected. Interestingly, membrane clamp experiments have shown that most of the cells tested, including endothelial cells, CHO cells, HEK cells, and Xenopus oocytes, reveal the presence of endogenous MSCs on their plasma membranes [13,58]. However, these cells are not normally mechanosensitive under physiological conditions (tested by whole cell clamp): the MSCs in these cells seem to open only when the cortical cytoskeleton is disrupted, such as following treatment with the F-actin inhibitor cytochalasin or physical detachment from the plasma membrane [58]. This evidence
suggested that some potentially unidentifiable MSCs may be present in many seemingly mechanoinensitive cells and might be functional under certain pathological conditions.

Increasing evidence also suggests that MSCs and other mechanosensitive molecules such as integrin adhesion and the actomyosin cytoskeleton function closely with one another to mediate mechanical signal transduction (Fig. 2). For example, both Piezo2 and TRPM7 have been found to colocalize with integrin focal adhesions [59, 60]; NompC (fly TRPN) associates with microtubules for its proper function [61, 62]; TRPM7 binds to actin filaments [60]; and TRPV4 directly interacts with both microtubules and F-actin [63]. In many cases, MSCs are regulated by structural molecules that directly control the viscoelastic properties of the cells. More importantly, this regulation is also usually reciprocal. For example, TRPC1 controls axon guidance by Ca2+ influx-triggered Calpain action, which induces further cleavage of talin activation to trigger focal adhesion turnover [64]. In addition, Piezo2 and TRPM7 can promote cell morphology changes by regulating RhoA activation and F-actin assembly [59, 60].

Meanwhile, MSCs are highly likely to play roles in intracellular mechanosensing (Fig. 2). For example, mitochondria, as the second largest reserve for Ca2+ in the cell, responds to mechanical cues by mitochondrial fission [65], modulating nuclear compartments [66] and by stimulating ATP synthesis [67]. Mitochondria are known to go through vigorous changes in volume, which is mainly driven by potassium fluxes, which swell mitochondria culminating in an inner membrane tension. While there is limited knowledge on mechanobiology of mitochondria, the existence of some mitochondrial MSCs has been highlighted. One such example is the calcium-activated potassium channel (mitoBKCa) located in the inner mitochondrial membrane [68], the opening probability of which is regulated by mechanical stimulus [69]. Another study reports mitochondrial MscS-Like (MSL) mechanosensitive ion channel in Arabidopsis, which regulates cell/organellar swelling in response to hypo-osmotic stress [70]. The cell nucleus has also been found to be a mechanotransduction machinery in cell fate determination [71]. Interestingly, the presence of MSCs on the nuclear membrane has long been proposed, as isolated cell nuclei show a hypotonic response of Ca2+ release, the identity of which remains unidentifiable [72, 73].

Additionally, the intracellular reticulum (ER) membrane has also been found to be able to respond to mechanical stimulus: human mesenchymal stem cells incubated in Ca2+ free medium (such that there can be no Ca2+ influx from MSCs on the plasma membrane) show a force-triggered ER Ca2+ release that is mediated through cytoskeleton coupling and potentially, through an unidentified MSC(s) on the ER [74]. Several TRP channels are found on different intracellular organelles, including the ER [7]. Recently, Piezo was also found to fragment from the plasma membrane to the ER in response to cell contacts [75]. Considering that the yeast TRP channel functions as an MSC on the vacuolar membrane to sense osmolarity pressure, it will be interesting to see if most cell organelles contain MSCs and thus can sense mechanical stress directly, and if so, whether activation of MSCs at different subcellular locations induces distinct biological functions. To address these questions, tools with high subcellular resolution, such as optical or magnetic tweezers, targeted optogenetic activators, and live-cell indicators, will be required to control or measure local mechanics and signaling.

Finally, we reiterate that there are still many unsolved questions about the mechanical regulation of stem cell activities and the study of MSCs during these processes is just beginning. We believe that the current development and application of new techniques in live imaging, tissue culture, and real-time mechanical stimulation delivery will significantly increase our current knowledge about the mechanobiology as well as stem cell biology.
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